

# Nonstandard $GL_h(\mathbf{n})$ quantum groups and contraction of covariant $\mathbf{q}$ -bosonic algebras\*

C. Quesne<sup>†</sup>

*Physique Nucléaire Théorique et Physique Mathématique, Université Libre de Bruxelles,  
Campus de la Plaine CP229, Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium*

February 1, 2008

## Abstract

$GL_h(n) \times GL_h(m)$ -covariant  $h$ -bosonic algebras are built by contracting the  $GL_q(n) \times GL_q(m)$ -covariant  $q$ -bosonic algebras considered by the present author some years ago. Their defining relations are written in terms of the corresponding  $R_h$ -matrices. Whenever  $n = 2$ , and  $m = 1$  or  $2$ , it is proved by using  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  Clebsch-Gordan coefficients that they can also be expressed in terms of coupled commutators in a way entirely similar to the classical case. Some  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  rank-1/2 irreducible tensor operators, recently constructed by Aizawa in terms of standard bosonic operators, are shown to provide a realization of the  $h$ -bosonic algebra corresponding to  $n = 2$  and  $m = 1$ .

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that the Lie group  $GL(2)$  admits, up to isomorphism, only two quantum group deformations with central determinant: the standard deformation  $GL_q(2)$ , and the Jordanian deformation  $GL_h(2)$  [1]. The quantum group  $GL_h(2)$ , or  $SL_h(2)$ , and the dual quantum algebra of the latter,  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  [2], have been the subject of many recent investigations, among which one may quote the determination of the  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  universal  $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix [3].

Two useful tools have been devised for the Jordanian deformation study. One of them is a contraction procedure that allows one to construct the latter from the standard deformation [4]. In other words,  $GL_h(2)$  can be obtained from  $GL_q(2)$  by a singular limit

---

\*Presented at the 7th Colloquium "Quantum Groups and Integrable Systems", Prague, 18–20 June 1998

<sup>†</sup>Directeur de recherches FNRS; E-mail: cquesne@ulb.ac.be

of a similarity transformation. Such a technique has been generalized by Alishahiha to higher-dimensional quantum groups [5].

The other tool is a nonlinear invertible map between the generators of  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  [6], yielding an explicit and simple method for constructing the finite-dimensional irreducible representations (irreps) of  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ . In addition, it has provided an explicit formula for  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CGC) [7], as well as bosonic or fermionic realizations of irreducible tensor operators (ITO) for  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  [8].

The purpose of the present communication is to apply the contraction procedure of Ref. [4], as generalized by Alishahiha [5], to the  $GL_q(n) \times GL_q(m)$ -covariant  $q$ -bosonic algebras constructed by the present author some years ago [9], and recently rederived by Fiore by another procedure [10]. As a result, we will obtain  $GL_h(n) \times GL_h(m)$ -covariant  $h$ -bosonic algebras. We will then consider the cases where  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 1$ , and  $n = m = 2$  in more detail, and establish some relations with the works of Aizawa on ITO [8], and of Van der Jeugt on CGC for  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  [7].

## 2 Contraction of $GL_q(N)$

The quantum group  $GL_q(N)$  is defined by the  $RTT$ -relations,  $R'T'_1T'_2 = T'_2T'_1R'$ , where  $T' = (T'_{ij}) \in GL_q(N)$ ,  $T'_1 = T' \otimes I$ ,  $T'_2 = I \otimes T'$ , and

$$R' = R'_q = q \sum_i e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}, \quad (1)$$

with  $i, j$  running over  $1, 2, \dots, N$ , and  $e_{ij}$  denoting the  $N \times N$  matrix with entry 1 in row  $i$  and column  $j$ , and zeros everywhere else. An equivalent form of the  $RTT$ -relations is obtained by replacing  $R' = R'_{12}$  by  $R'^{-1}_{21}$ . Throughout this communication,  $q$ -deformed objects will be denoted by primed quantities, whereas unprimed ones will represent  $h$ -deformed objects.

Let us consider the similarity transformation  $R'' = (g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1}) R' (g \otimes g)$ ,  $T'' = g^{-1} T' g$ , where  $g$  is the  $N \times N$  matrix defined by  $g = \sum_i e_{ii} + \eta e_{1N}$ , in terms of some parameter  $\eta = h/(q - 1)$  [4, 5]. The  $RTT$ -relations simply become  $R''T''_1T''_2 = T''_2T''_1R''$ .

Whenever  $q$  goes to 1, although  $\eta$  becomes singular, the latter have a definite limit  $RT_1T_2 = T_2T_1R$ , where  $T = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} T''$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R_h = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} R'' \\ &= \sum_{ij} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + h \left[ e_{11} \otimes e_{1N} - e_{1N} \otimes e_{11} + e_{1N} \otimes e_{NN} - e_{NN} \otimes e_{1N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} (e_{1i} \otimes e_{iN} - e_{iN} \otimes e_{1i}) \right] + h^2 e_{1N} \otimes e_{1N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The resulting  $R$ -matrix is triangular, i.e., it is quasitriangular and  $R^{-1}_{12} = R_{21}$ , showing that the two equivalent forms of  $RTT$ -relations for  $GL_q(N)$  have actually the same contraction limit. The matrix elements  $T_{ij}$  generate  $GL_h(N)$ .

### 3 $\text{GL}_q(n) \times \text{GL}_q(m)$ -covariant $q$ -bosonic algebras

Let us consider two different copies of  $\text{GL}_q(N)$ , corresponding to possibly different dimensions  $n, m$ , and let us denote quantities referring to  $\text{GL}_q(n)$  by ordinary letters ( $R', T', \dots$ ), and quantities referring to  $\text{GL}_q(m)$  by script ones ( $\mathcal{R}', \mathcal{T}', \dots$ ). The elements  $T'_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , of  $\text{GL}_q(n)$ , and  $\mathcal{T}'_{st}$ ,  $s, t = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , of  $\text{GL}_q(m)$  are assumed to commute with one another.

In Ref. [9],  $q$ -bosonic creation and annihilation operators  $\mathbf{A}'_{is}{}^+$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_{is}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , that are double ITO of rank  $[10]_n[10]_m$ , and  $[\dot{0}-1]_n[\dot{0}-1]_m$  with respect to  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \times U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m))$ , respectively, were constructed in terms of standard  $q$ -bosonic operators [11]  $a'_{is}{}^+$ ,  $a'_{is}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , acting in a tensor product Fock space  $F = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{s=1}^m F_{is}$ . The annihilation operators  $\mathbf{A}'_{is}$  contragredient to  $\mathbf{A}'_{is}{}^+$  were also considered. Both sets of annihilation operators  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_{is}$  and  $\mathbf{A}'_{is}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are related through the equation  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}' = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{C}'$ , where  $\mathbf{C}' = C'\mathcal{C}'$ ,  $C' = \sum_i (-1)^{n-i} q^{-(n-2i+1)/2} e_{ii'}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}' = \sum_s (-1)^{m-s} q^{-(m-2s+1)/2} e_{ss'}$ , with  $i' = n - i + 1$ ,  $s' = m - s + 1$ .

The operators  $\mathbf{A}'_{is}{}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{A}'_{is}$ , or  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_{is}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_{is}$ , generate with  $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}\mathcal{I}$  a  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \times U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m))$ -module algebra or  $\text{GL}_q(n) \times \text{GL}_q(m)$ -comodule algebra, whose  $q$ -commutation relations can be compactly written in coupled form by using  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \times U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m))$  CGC. When rewritten in componentwise form, such relations can be expressed in terms of the  $\text{GL}_q(n)$  and  $\text{GL}_q(m)$   $R$ -matrices as [9]

$$\begin{aligned} R' \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ \mathbf{A}'_2{}^+ &= \mathbf{A}'_2{}^+ \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ \mathcal{R}', & R' \mathbf{A}'_2 \mathbf{A}'_1 &= \mathbf{A}'_1 \mathbf{A}'_2 \mathcal{R}', \\ \mathbf{A}'_2 \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ &= \mathbf{I}_{21} + R'^{t_1} \mathcal{R}'^{t_1} \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ \mathbf{A}'_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} R' \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ \mathbf{A}'_2{}^+ &= \mathbf{A}'_2{}^+ \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ \mathcal{R}', & R' \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_1 \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_2 &= \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_2 \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_1 \mathcal{R}', \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_2 \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_1{}^+ &= \mathbf{C}'_{12} + q^2 \mathbf{A}'_1{}^+ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'_2 \tilde{R}'^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $t_1$  (resp.  $t_2$ ) denotes transposition in the first (resp. second) space of the tensor product,  $\tilde{R}'$  is defined by  $\tilde{R}' = qC'_1 (R'^{-1})^{t_1} C'^{-1} = qC'_2 (R'^{t_2})^{-1} C'^{-1}$ , and similar relations hold for  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$ . The transformations leaving Eqs. (3) and (4) invariant are  $\varphi'(\mathbf{A}'^+) = \mathbf{A}'^+ T' \mathcal{T}'$ ,  $\varphi'(\mathbf{A}') = T'^{-1} \mathcal{T}'^{-1} \mathbf{A}'$ , and  $\varphi'(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}') = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}' \tilde{T}' \tilde{\mathcal{T}}'$ , respectively. Here  $\tilde{T}'$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}'$  are defined by  $\tilde{T}' = C'^{-1} (T'^{-1})^t C'$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}' = \mathcal{C}'^{-1} (\mathcal{T}'^{-1})^t \mathcal{C}'$ .

There exists another independent set of  $\text{GL}_q(n) \times \text{GL}_q(m)$ -covariant  $q$ -bosonic operators, which satisfy equations similar to Eq. (3) or (4), but with  $R'_{12} \rightarrow R'^{-1}_{21}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'_{12} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'^{-1}_{21}$ , implying  $q^{-1} \tilde{R}'_{12} \rightarrow q \tilde{R}'^{-1}_{21}$ ,  $q^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'_{12} \rightarrow q \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'^{-1}_{21}$ .

### 4 $\text{GL}_h(n) \times \text{GL}_h(m)$ -covariant $h$ -bosonic algebras

Let us apply the contraction procedure of Sec. 2 to the  $\text{GL}_q(n) \times \text{GL}_q(m)$ -covariant  $q$ -bosonic algebras, given in two equivalent forms in Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively. Since we now have

two copies of  $\text{GL}_q(N)$ , we have to consider two transformation matrices  $g = \sum_i e_{ii} + \eta e_{1n}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_s e_{ss} + \eta e_{1m}$ , acting on  $\text{GL}_q(n)$  and  $\text{GL}_q(m)$ , respectively.

Let us first consider Eq. (3), and introduce transformed  $q$ -bosonic operators defined by  $\mathbf{A}''^+ = \mathbf{A}'^+ \mathbf{g}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}'' = \mathbf{g}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'$ , where  $\mathbf{g} = g \mathfrak{g}$ . By using the property  $R'_{12} = R'_{21}$ , and a similar one for  $\mathcal{R}'$ , it is straightforward to show that Eq. (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_1''^+ \mathbf{A}_2''^+ &= \mathbf{A}_2''^+ \mathbf{A}_1''^+ R_{21}''^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{12}'', & \mathbf{A}_1'' \mathbf{A}_2'' &= R_{12}'' \mathcal{R}_{21}''^{-1} \mathbf{A}_2'' \mathbf{A}_1'', \\ \mathbf{A}_2'' \mathbf{A}_1''^+ &= \mathbf{I}_{21} + R''^{t_1} \mathcal{R}''^{t_1} \mathbf{A}_1''^+ \mathbf{A}_2''. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since  $R$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are triangular, in the  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit the  $h$ -bosonic operators  $\mathbf{A}_{is}^+ = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{A}_{is}''^+$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{is} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{A}_{is}''$  satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_1^+ \mathbf{A}_2^+ &= \mathbf{A}_2^+ \mathbf{A}_1^+ R \mathcal{R}, & \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 &= R \mathcal{R} \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_1, \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_1^+ &= \mathbf{I}_{21} + R^{t_1} \mathcal{R}^{t_1} \mathbf{A}_1^+ \mathbf{A}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

defining a  $\text{GL}_h(n) \times \text{GL}_h(m)$ -comodule algebra. The transformation  $\varphi(\mathbf{A}^+) = \mathbf{A}^+ T \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{A}) = T^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{-1} \mathbf{A}$ , where  $T_{ij} \in \text{GL}_h(n)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{st} \in \text{GL}_h(m)$ , leaves Eq. (6) invariant.

Three properties of Eq. (6) are worth noting: (1) Had we started instead from the second form of Eq. (3) corresponding to the substitutions  $R'_{12} \rightarrow R'_{21}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'_{12} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_{21}$ , we would have obtained the same contraction limit (6), owing to the triangularity of  $R$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ . (2) Contrary to what happens in the  $q$ -bosonic case,  $\mathbf{A}_{is}$  can never be considered as the adjoint of  $\mathbf{A}_{is}^+$ , since no  $*$ -structure is known on  $\text{GL}_h(N)$ . (3) For  $m = 1$ , Eq. (6) is consistent with the general form of  $\mathcal{H}$ -covariant deformed bosonic algebras for triangular  $\mathcal{H}$ , obtained by Fiore [12].

Let us next consider Eq. (4), and define  $\mathbf{A}''^+ = \mathbf{A}'^+ \mathbf{g}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}'' = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}' \mathbf{g}$ , where  $\mathbf{g}$  is the same as before. Compatibility of the  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}''$  and  $\mathbf{A}''$  definitions with  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}'' = \mathbf{A}'' \mathbf{C}''$ , where  $\mathbf{C}'' = \mathbf{C}'' \mathcal{C}''$ , leads to  $\mathbf{C}'' = g^t \mathbf{C}' g$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'' = \mathfrak{g}^t \mathcal{C}' \mathfrak{g}$ . A simple calculation shows that for  $n > 1$ , a contraction limit of  $\mathbf{C}''$  only exists for even  $n$  values, and is given by  $\mathbf{C} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{C}'' = \sum_i (-1)^i e_{ii'} + (n-1) h e_{nn}$ . Similar results hold for  $\mathcal{C} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{C}''$ .

Restricting the range of  $n, m$  values to  $\{1, 2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ , we obtain that after transformation, Eq. (4) contracts into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_1^+ \mathbf{A}_2^+ &= \mathbf{A}_2^+ \mathbf{A}_1^+ R \mathcal{R}, & \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2 &= \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1 R \mathcal{R}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2 \mathbf{A}_1^+ &= \mathbf{C}_{12} + \mathbf{A}_1^+ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2 \tilde{R}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\tilde{R} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1}) \tilde{R}'(g \otimes g) = C_1^{-1} (R^{-1})^{t_1} C_1 = C_2^{-1} (R^{t_2})^{-1} C_2$ , and similarly for  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ . For such restricted  $n, m$  values, Eq. (7) yields another form of the  $\text{GL}_h(n) \times \text{GL}_h(m)$ -covariant  $h$ -bosonic algebra defined in Eq. (6) for arbitrary  $n, m$  values. The transformation leaving Eq. (7) invariant is  $\varphi(\mathbf{A}^+) = \mathbf{A}^+ T \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\varphi(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{T} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ , where  $\tilde{T} = C^{-1} (T^{-1})^t C$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{C}^{-1} (\mathcal{T}^{-1})^t \mathcal{C}$ . However, for  $n$  and/or  $m \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ , the contraction procedure does not preserve the equivalence between Eqs. (3) and (4), since only the former has a limit.

## 5 $\text{GL}_h(2)$ and $\text{GL}_h(2) \times \text{GL}_h(2)$ -covariant $h$ -bosonic algebras

For  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 1$ , by making the substitutions

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h & -h & h^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & h \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{C} = 1, \quad (8)$$

into Eqs. (6) and (7), we obtain that  $A_1^+$ ,  $A_2^+$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1^+, A_2^+] &= h (A_1^+)^2, & [A_1, A_2] &= h A_2^2, \\ [A_2, A_1^+] &= 0, & [A_1, A_2^+] &= h (-A_1^+ A_1 - A_2^+ A_2 + h A_1^+ A_2), \\ [A_1, A_1^+] &= [A_2, A_2^+] = I + h A_1^+ A_2, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

while  $A_1^+$ ,  $A_2^+$ ,  $\tilde{A}_1$ ,  $\tilde{A}_2$  fulfil

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1^+, A_2^+] &= h (A_1^+)^2, & [\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2] &= h \tilde{A}_1^2, \\ [\tilde{A}_1, A_1^+] &= 0, & [\tilde{A}_2, A_2^+] &= h (I - A_1^+ \tilde{A}_2 + A_2^+ \tilde{A}_1 + h A_1^+ \tilde{A}_1), \\ [\tilde{A}_1, A_2^+] &= -[\tilde{A}_2, A_1^+] = I + h A_1^+ \tilde{A}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Both sets of operators  $(A_1^+, A_2^+)$  and  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  may be considered as the components  $m = 1/2$  and  $m = -1/2$  of ITO of rank  $1/2$ , or spinors, with respect to the quantum algebra  $U_h(\text{sl}(2))$ . By considering the adjoint action of the  $U_h(\text{sl}(2))$  generators on such spinors, Aizawa [8] recently realized them in terms of standard bosonic operators  $a_1^+$ ,  $a_2^+$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^+ &= \left(1 - \frac{h}{2} J_+\right)^{-1} a_1^+, & A_2^+ &= \left(1 - \frac{h}{2} J_+\right) a_2^+ + \frac{h}{2} (A_1^+ - 2a_1^+ J_0), \\ \tilde{A}_1 &= \left(1 - \frac{h}{2} J_+\right)^{-1} a_2, & \tilde{A}_2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{h}{2} J_+\right) a_1 + \frac{h}{2} (\tilde{A}_1 - 2a_2 J_0), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $J_+ = a_1^+ a_2$ , and  $J_0 = (a_1^+ a_1 - a_2^+ a_2)/2$  are  $\text{sl}(2)$  generators. As can be easily checked, the operators (11) satisfy Eq. (10), as it should be.

Equation (10) can be recast into an alternative form by using coupled commutators

$$[U^{j_1}, V^{j_2}]_m^j \equiv [U^{j_1} \times V^{j_2}]_m^j - (-1)^\epsilon [V^{j_2} \times U^{j_1}]_m^j, \quad (12)$$

where  $U^{j_1}$  and  $V^{j_2}$  denote two ITO of rank  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  with respect to  $U_h(\text{sl}(2))$ , respectively,  $\epsilon = j_1 + j_2 - j$ ,

$$[U^{j_1} \times V^{j_2}]_m^j \equiv \sum_{m_1 m_2} \langle j_1 m_1, j_2 m_2 | j m \rangle_h U_{m_1}^{j_1} V_{m_2}^{j_2}, \quad (13)$$

and  $\langle , | \rangle_h$  denotes a  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  CGC, as determined in Ref. [7]. The results read

$$[A^+, A^+]_0^0 = [\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}]_0^0 = [\tilde{A}, A^+]_m^1 = 0, \quad [\tilde{A}, A^+]_0^0 = \sqrt{2} I. \quad (14)$$

For  $n = m = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  take the same form as  $R$  and  $C$  in Eq. (8). Relations similar to those in Eqs. (9) and (10) can be easily written. The operators  $\mathbf{A}_{is}^+$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{is}$ ,  $i, s = 1, 2$ , may now be considered as the components of double spinors with respect to  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \times U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ , and they satisfy the coupled commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{A}^+, \mathbf{A}^+]_{m,0}^{1,0} &= [\mathbf{A}^+, \mathbf{A}^+]_{0,m'}^{0,1} = [\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}]_{m,0}^{1,0} = [\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}]_{0,m'}^{0,1} = 0, \\ [\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{A}^+]_{m,m'}^{j,j'} &= 2\delta_{j,0}\delta_{j',0}\delta_{m,0}\delta_{m',0}\mathbf{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where in the definition of coupled commutators there now appear two  $\epsilon$  phases, and two  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  CGC.

It is remarkable that both Eqs. (14) and (15) are formally identical with those for  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , respectively. Contrary to what happens in the  $q$ -bosonic case where the commutators are  $q$ -deformed, here all the dependence upon the deforming parameter  $h$  is contained in the CGC.

## 6 Conclusion

In this communication, we showed that  $GL_h(n) \times GL_h(m)$ -covariant  $h$ -bosonic algebras can be obtained by contracting  $GL_q(n) \times GL_q(m)$ -covariant  $q$ -bosonic ones. Some extensions of the present work to  $h$ -fermionic and multiparametric algebras are under current investigation.

## References

- [1] Kupershmidt B. A.: J. Phys. A *25* (1992) L1239.
- [2] Ohn C.: Lett. Math. Phys. *25* (1992) 85.
- [3] Ballesteros A. and Herranz F. J.: J. Phys. A *29* (1996) L311.  
Shariati A., Aghamohammadi A., and Khorrami M.: Mod. Phys. Lett. A *11* (1996) 187.
- [4] Aghamohammadi A., Khorrami M., and Shariati A.: J. Phys. A *28* (1995) L225.
- [5] Alishahiha M.: J. Phys. A *28* (1995) 6187.
- [6] Abdesselam B., Chakrabarti A., and Chakrabarti R.: Mod. Phys. Lett. A *11* (1996) 2883.
- [7] Van der Jeugt J.: Czech. J. Phys. *47* (1997) 1283; J. Phys. A *31* (1998) 1495.

- [8] Aizawa N.: J. Phys. A *31* (1998) 5467.
- [9] Quesne C.: Phys. Lett. B *298* (1993) 344; *322* (1994) 344.
- [10] Fiore G.: J. Phys. A *31* (1998) 5289.
- [11] Biedenharn L. C.: J. Phys. A *22* (1989) L873.  
Macfarlane A. J.: J. Phys. A *22* (1989) 4581.
- [12] Fiore G.: *in* Proc. Quantum Group Symp. at Group21, Goslar, Germany, 1996 (Eds. H.-D. Doebner and V. K. Dobrev). Heron Press, Sofia, 1997, p. 84.